



LEONG C LEI PMB # 1008 1867 YGNACIO VALLEY ROAD WALNUT CREEK CA 94598

**COPY MAILED** 

DEC. 2 3 2005

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Ling Lang Liu

Application No. 10/647,175

Filed: August 25, 2003

Attorney Docket No. FP 9698

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b)<sup>1</sup>, filed November 14, 2005, to revive the above-identified application.

## The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned for failure to timely reply to the final Office Action mailed March 7, 2005. A response was filed July 7, 2005 with a one month extension of time request, but by Advisory Action of July 20, 2005, petitioner was advised that the response did not place the application in condition for allowance. This application became abandoned on June 8, 2005. Accordingly, the Notice of Abandonment was mailed November 14, 2005, the same day the instant petition was filed.

Petitioner has submitted a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) and has indicated that the amendment filed July 7, 2005 should serve as the submission required under 37 CFR 1.114.

This matter is being referred to Technology Center 3723 for processing of the RCE.

Telephone inquiries related to this decision should be directed to the undersigned Patitions Attorney at (571) 272-3212.

Patricia Faison-Ball

Senior Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) <u>must</u> be accompanied by:

<sup>(1)</sup> the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof.

<sup>(2)</sup> the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

<sup>(3)</sup> a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may required additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

<sup>(4)</sup> any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).